

Energy-Intensive and Trade-Exposed Industries
Advisory Panel Meeting
October 5, 2020

Attendees

- | | |
|--------------------------|--|
| 1. Eric Gertler, Chair | Empire State Development |
| 2. Keith Hayes, Co-Chair | New York Power Authority |
| 3. Heather Briccetti | The Business Council of New York State, Inc. |
| 4. Tristan Brown | SUNY College of Environmental Science and Forestry |
| 5. Jason Curtis | Nucor Steel Auburn, Inc. |
| 6. Carlos García | New York City Environmental Justice Alliance |
| 7. Leah George VanScott | Greater Rochester Enterprise |
| 8. Doug Grose | NY CREATES |
| 9. Michael LeMonds | LafargeHolcim |
| 10. Melanie Littlejohn | National Grid |
| 11. Elisa Miller-Out | Chloe Capital |
| 12. Stephen Tucker | Workforce Training Center at Northland |
| 13. David Wasiura | United Steelworkers District 4 |

Not in Attendance

- | | |
|-------------------|--|
| 1. Lourdes Zapata | South Bronx Overall Economic Development Corp. (SoBRO) |
|-------------------|--|

Agenda Item I – Welcome and Meeting Objective

- Chair Gertler welcomed the Panel, reviewed meeting logistics and took the role.
- Advisory Panel members who were not in attendance at the first meeting introduced themselves and highlighted their areas of interest.
- Chair Gertler stated that the meeting objective was to collect the Panel’s input on the Draft Work Plan.
- Chair Gertler then gave a brief overview of the roles and responsibilities of the Chair, the Panel members and the staff.

Agenda Item II – Panel Discussion of Draft Work Plan

- Chair Gertler introduced Panel member Hayes of NYPA who presented on:
 - The Panel’s Scope of Work, including key scoping assumptions with regard to:
 - the types of industrial emissions to be addressed
 - the types of industries to be addressed
 - the ways in which the Panel’s scope will differ from that of the Just Transition Working Group
 - the types of technologies and policies the Panel may wish to consider in reducing emissions, and
 - examples of technologies and policies to mitigate leakage and anti-competitive impacts on EITE industries.

- Panel member Hayes also addressed emission reduction goals and reviewed those developed by Energy and Environmental Economics.
- Panel members raised a number of questions including:
 - Is Bitcoin mining considered an EITE?
 - How many BTUs are required to power the State's industrial sector?
 - How much renewable energy is in the State's project pipeline?
 - What are the sources of emissions in the construction sector?
- Panel members also made a number of information requests including:
 - A breakdown of the specific products included in the petroleum category
 - The location of industrial sites relative to both lower income communities and load pockets subject to transmission constraints
 - Emissions data for on-site fuel combustion.
- Panel member VanScott suggested the importance of business attraction and expansion.
- Panel members Curtis and Littlejohn made the point that power quality, reliability and price be considered in the Panel's work.
- Panel member Wasiura emphasized that the Panel should obtain input on what is feasible from the State's larger EITE manufacturers.
- Chair Gertler then reviewed the Work Plan's sections on:
 - Public Participation and how the Panel would engage with the public, and
 - The Timeline, including what expertise might be required at different stages of the Panel's work.

Agenda Item III – Next Steps

- Chair Gertler reviewed next steps, including that:
 - the Draft Work Plan would be submitted to the Climate Action Council at the Council's October 8th meeting
 - a proposed schedule of future meeting dates would be provided to the Panel members, and
 - staff would begin focusing on identifying experts and/or written material to help inform the Panel's deliberations and asked for any suggestions in this regard.
- Chair Gertler then asked for any final questions from the Panel before concluding the meeting.