

Economywide Strategies Subgroup Meeting 3

July 20, 2022

Workgroup Overview

> This subgroup will provide further evaluation and guidance regarding the three economy-wide approaches identified in the Draft Scoping Plan.

Meeting 3 Agenda

- > Workplan Update
- > Setting the Stage Discussion: Rationale for Policy Recommendation
- > Finalize Criteria
- > Apply Criteria
 - Emissions
 - Programmatic
 - Certainty and Sufficiency of Funding and Use of Proceeds
 - Consistency with Other Regulatory Programs
- > Summary of meeting takeaways & prep for Meeting 4

Level Setting Reminders

- > This is an invitation only subgroup.
- > Participation in all meetings is encouraged.
 - The team will be flexible to the extent possible.
- > State staff will be responsive to questions but not participate in the discussion.
- > Chatham House rule will guide our discussions.
- > Notes and presentations from the meeting will be posted to the website within one week.
- > Alternative options and perspectives will be considered should consensus not be achieved.



Workplan Update

Workplan Update

Meeting Date	Meeting Focus
Meeting 1 – June 27 2:00-3:30 PM	Setting the Table for the Work Ahead/Refining and Prioritizing Criteria
Meeting 2 – June 29 9:30 – 11:00 AM	RFF Presentation/Identifying Further Clarity Needed
Meeting 3 – July 20 9:00 – 11:00 AM	Rationale Discussion/Finalizing & Applying Criteria (Emissions; Certainty and Sufficiency of Funding and Use of Proceeds and Consistency with Other Regulatory Programs)
Meeting 4 – July 25 2:00 – 4:00 PM	Applying Criteria (Equity)
Meeting 5 – August 8 2:00 – 4:00 PM	Applying Criteria (Economic; Incorporating Multi-Jurisdictional Programs and Maintaining Administrative Simplicity)
Meeting 6 – August 22 2:00 – 4:00 PM	Rationale Discussion/Incorporating Public Comment/Comparing and Contrasting
Tentative Meeting – August 29 2:00 – 4:00 PM	Meeting time held for additional discussion if needed
Meeting 7 – September 12 2:00 – 4:00 PM	Finalizing Recommendations







Setting the Stage: Rationale Discussion

Discussion

- > What is the subgroup's rationale for recommending an economywide policy for the final Scoping Plan?
- > Subgroup could consider aligning rationale with the criteria.

Emissions

- Certainty of emission reductions to comply with state limit
- Potential for minimizing carbon price and/or maximizing abatement/\$
- Application Economy Wide or to Specific Sectors
- Reduction of co-pollutant emissions

Economic

- Price certainty
- Mitigating risk of leakage
- Supporting economic development and innovation
- Maintaining affordability for consumers/businesses
- Regional equity

Equity

- Prioritizing emissions and pollutant reductions in DACs/avoiding hotspots
- Affordability and avoiding regressive impacts

Programmatic

- Certainty and sufficiency of funding and use of proceeds
- Incorporating multijurisdictional programs
- Consistency with other regulatory programs
- Maintaining administrative simplicity



Finalize Criteria

Revised Criteria List

- Emissions criteria
 - Certainty of emission reductions to comply with state limit
 - Potential for minimizing carbon price and/or maximizing abatement/\$
 - Application economy wide or to specific sectors
 - Reduction of co-pollutant emissions
- Economic criteria
 - Price certainty
 - Mitigating risk of leakage
 - Supporting economic development and innovation
 - Maintaining affordability for consumers/businesses
 - Regional equity

- Equity criteria
 - Prioritizing emissions and pollutant reductions in DACs/avoiding hotspots
 - Affordability and avoiding regressive impacts
- Programmatic criteria
 - Certainty and sufficiency of funding and use of proceeds
 - Incorporating multi-jurisdictional programs
 - Consistency with other regulatory programs
 - Maintaining administrative simplicity

Criteria Descriptions – Emissions Criteria

- > Certainty of emission reductions to comply with state limit
 - Ensuring legally binding certainty that emissions limits will be met.
- > Potential for minimizing carbon price and/or maximizing abatement/\$
 - Ensuring maximum emission reductions at minimum cost. while maintaining low costs
- > Application economy wide or to specific sectors
 - Choosing to apply policies economy wide or exclude certain sectors that are sufficient to ensure a specific level of emission reductions.
- > Reduction of co-pollutant emissions
 - Considering regulations that reduce co-pollutants in addition to greenhouse gas emissions.

Criteria Descriptions – Economic Criteria

- > Price certainty
 - Providing for certainty of the future prices might also allow consumers to make more informed decisions.
- > Mitigating risk of leakage
 - Preventing an increase in emissions outside the State from displaced economic activity.
- > Supporting economic development and innovation
 - Encouraging low carbon economic development that secures the benefits of the recommendations in the Scoping Plan.
- > Maintaining affordability for consumers and businesses
 - Ensuring competitiveness of NY businesses.
- > Regional equity
 - Ensuring that an economy-wide program does not place a disproportionate burden on particular geographic portions of the State.

Criteria Descriptions - Equity Criteria

- > Prioritizing emissions and pollutants reduction in DACs/avoiding hotspots
 - Identifying measures to maximize reductions of both GHG emissions and co-pollutants in disadvantaged communities and Prioritizing measures to maximize net reductions of GHGs and co-pollutants in disadvantaged communities.
 - Consider both program design and investment of revenues/proceeds.
- > Affordability and avoiding regressive impacts
 - Considering the economic impact on New York consumers at different levels of income in establishing the stringency of the programs.

Criteria Descriptions – Programmatic Criteria

- > Certainty and sufficiency of funding and use of proceeds
 - Providing revenues for other Scoping Plan strategies in order to realize the emission reductions and other benefits of the Scoping Plan.
- > Incorporating multi-jurisdictional programs
 - Assuring competitive neutrality across the region, reducing emission leakage, and, if New York's participation induces other states to participate, increasing the overall GHG emission reductions.
- > Consistency with other regulatory programs
 - Complementing other regulations to further reduce emissions.
- > Maintaining administrative simplicity
 - Ensuring ease of implementation and ability to enforce regulations.



Applying Criteria

Today's Criteria Focus

Emissions

- Certainty of emission reductions to comply with state limit
- Potential for minimizing carbon price and/or maximizing abatement/\$
- Application economy wide or to specific sectors
- Reduction of co-pollutant emissions

Programmatic

- Certainty and sufficiency of funding and use of proceeds
- Consistency with other regulatory programs



Emissions Criteria

Application of Emissions Criteria

Criteria	Carbon pricing	Cap-and-invest	Clean Energy Supply Standards
Certainty of emission reductions to comply with statewide emission limits	-Doesn't ensure a targeted level of emission reductions as regulated entities can pay the fee insteadIf prices are set correctly the level of emission reductions achieved should be economicCan address with mechanisms to increase price if emissions are not declining as needed to meet emission limits.	-Ensures a targeted level of emissions reductions, in the absence of safety valves, because a declining cap is enforceable against individual sourcesIf covering the entire economy, cap could ensure that statewide limits are met, but some source categories may be difficult.	 -Doesn't ensure a targeted level of emission reductions. -Only ensures reduction in emission intensity until the standard reaches zero.
	needed to meet emission limits.		

Applying Criteria

	Cross-Cutting Design Features	Carbon Price Design Features	Cap and Invest Design Features	Clean Energy Supply Standard Design Features
Certainty of GHG emission reductions to comply with state limit	reatures	reatures	reatures	Standard Design Features

Polling

- > If NY State was able to adopt all of the design features as noted by the subgroup, which policy *best* meets the criterion?
- > This is <u>not</u> an official vote. This will inform future subgroup conversations.

Application of Emissions Criteria

Criteria	Carbon pricing	Cap-and-invest	Clean Energy Supply Standards
Potential for minimizing carbon cost and/or maximizing CO2 reduction per dollar	-Price is set by law and does not vary with level of emission reductionReinvestment of revenues will increase the carbon reductions per dollar collected -Setting a CO2 price based on SCC could pay more for mitigation than is necessary to meet CO2 reduction goals.	-Minimizes the cost associated with any level of reduction; cost of allowances declines as other programs reduce emission under the cap or low-carbon technology advances. -Reinvestment of proceeds in emission reduction programs will reduce the cost of allowances -Auction approaches can lead to mitigating a given quantity of CO2 at least cost, rather than paying an estimated CO2 price that is higher than necessary.	-Minimizes cost associated with emission intensity, but not necessarily total emissions -Cost may increase over time as lower cost alternatives are exhausted

Applying Criteria

	Cross-Cutting Design Features	Carbon Price Design Features	Cap and Invest Design Features	Clean Energy Supply Standard Design Features
Potential for minimizing carbon price and/or maximizing abatement/\$				

Polling

- > If NY State was able to adopt all of the design features as noted by the subgroup, which policy *best* meets the criterion?
- > This is <u>not</u> an official vote. This will inform future subgroup conversations.

Application of Emissions Criteria

Criteria	Carbon pricing	Cap-and-invest	Clean Energy Supply Standards
Application economywide or to specific sectors	-Could apply economywide or to specific sectors -Application to all energy sources within NYS would limit distortions between different sectors -Could exclude sectors subject to other regulatory requirements that ensure sufficient level of reductions -Could exclude sectors difficult to regulate due to uncertainty of emissions or large number of sources (e.g., ag methane/HFCs) -Need to account for interstate trade.	-Could apply economywide or to specific sectors -Economywide would limit distortions -Could exclude or subsidize compliance for sectors subject to other regulatory requirements that ensure sufficient level of reductions -Could exclude sectors difficult to regulate due to uncertainty of emissions or large number of sources (e.g., ag methane/HFCs) -Need to account for inter-State trade.	-Would apply only to energy emissions, potentially excluding electricity already covered by clean energy standard -If electricity is included, it would enable zero emission generators to generate credits, reducing the cost of zero emission electricity

Applying Criteria

	Cross-Cutting Design Features	Carbon Price Design Features	Cap and Invest Design Features	Clean Energy Supply Standard Design Features
Application economy wide or to specific sectors	Features	Features	Features	Standard Design Features

Polling

- > If NY State was able to adopt all of the design features as noted by the subgroup, which policy *best* meets the criterion?
- > This is <u>not</u> an official vote. This will inform future subgroup conversations.

Applying Criteria

	Cross-Cutting Design Features	Carbon Price Design Features	Cap and Invest Design Features	Clean Energy Supply Standard Design Features
Reduction of co-pollutant emissions				

Polling

- > If NY State was able to adopt all of the design features as noted by the subgroup, which policy *best* meets the criterion?
- > This is <u>not</u> an official vote. This will inform future subgroup conversations.

Emission Criteria Polling

- > After considering all of the emissions criteria, which of these policies overall reduces emissions *most* and *least* efficiently.
- > This is <u>not</u> an official vote. This will inform future subgroup conversations.



Programmatic Criteria

Application of Programmatic Criteria

Criteria	Carbon pricing	Cap-and-invest	Clean Energy Supply Standards
Certainty/sufficiency of funding and use of proceeds	-Because price is set, it provides more revenue certainty and certainty in meeting funding needs -Can structure to fill the gap in revenue needs after considering federal programs; additional funds can be returned to the public in form of rebates	-Less certainty in revenues because allowance prices can fluctuate, but price and emission containment measures can limit fluctuation -If it produces more revenues than needed after consideration of federal programs, additional funds can be returned to the public in form of rebates	- Credit values can fluctuate

Applying Criteria

	Cross-Cutting Design Features	Carbon Price Design Features	Cap and Invest Design Features	Clean Energy Supply Standard Design Features
Certainty and sufficiency of funding and use of proceeds	reatures	reatures	reatures	Standard Design Features

Polling

- > If NY State was able to adopt all of the design features as noted by the subgroup, which policy *best* meets the criterion?
- > This is <u>not</u> an official vote. This will inform future subgroup conversations.

Application of Programmatic Criteria

Criteria	Carbon pricing	Cap-and-invest	Clean Energy Supply Standards
Consistency with other regulatory programs	-Other regulatory programs would be needed to provide emission certainty; those programs would provide additional reductions, but not reduce the carbon price	-Since emissions are capped, other regulatory programs on capped sectors will not reduce emissions further (unless cap is reduced accordingly), but would reduce the cost of allowances -Regulatory programs on sources outside the cap would reduce emissions further.	-Other regulatory programs would provide additional reductions - Other regulatory programs would reduce the credit prices under such a standard.

Applying Criteria

	Cross-Cutting Design Features	Carbon Price Design Features	Cap and Invest Design Features	Clean Energy Supply Standard Design Features
Consistency with other regulatory programs	reatures	reatures	reatures	Stallual u Desigli Features

Polling

- > If NY State was able to adopt all of the design features as noted by the subgroup, which policy *best* meets the criterion?
- > This is <u>not</u> an official vote. This will inform future subgroup conversations.



Key Takeaways

Prep for Meeting 4

- > Monday, July 25; 2:00 4:00 PM
- > Applying Equity Criteria
- > Homework to prepare for discussion
 - Prepare implementation suggestions/edits to best achieve equity criteria in advance
 - Consider CJWG comments as reflected on page 257 in the draft Scoping Plan

Thank You!

