Climate Justice Working Group

Wednesday, February 24, 2021
3pm
Meeting Procedures

Before beginning, a few reminders to ensure a smooth discussion:

- Working Group Members should be on mute if not speaking.
  - If using phone for audio, please tap the phone mute button.
  - If using computer for audio, please click the mute button on the computer screen (1st visual).

- Video is encouraged for Working Group members, particularly when speaking.

- In the event of a question or comment, please use the hand raise function (2nd visual). Click the participant panel button (3rd visual) for the hand raise function. Rosa or Alanah will call on members individually, at which time please unmute.
Welcome and Roll Call
Agenda

- Welcome and Roll Call
- Business Items (10 minutes)
- Public Engagement Planning
- Indicators and Data Discussion
- Next Steps
Business Items

> Reflections from 1/27 Meeting
> Minutes from 1/27 Meeting
> Reflections from 3/10 Transportation Advisory Panel Meeting
## Public Engagement Planning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>February 2021</th>
<th>Two CJWG Meetings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Finalize draft criteria and list</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Discuss strategies for holding public/virtual meetings; platforms; languages; etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Further engagement with Advisory panels</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>March 2021</th>
<th>Two Meetings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Climate Justice WG provides draft disadvantaged communities criteria for comment (anticipated)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Begin 6 Public hearings for draft criteria discussion and 120 day comment period</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Elements:
- Six Regional Public Hearings
- 120-Day Comment Period
Where we are in the process
Where we are

0. Objectives

1. Screening Criteria
   (Indicators and Data)

2. Scoring Approach
   (Combine Indicators)

3. DAC Designation
   (Yes/No Classification)

4. Evaluate Results
   (Designated Communities)

5. Stakeholder and Public Review

Downloading data
Testing and exploring data
Where we are

Identify Need
Observe/Research
Prioritize
TEST
Begin downloads
Explore data

DEVELOP
Create combinations
Test
Iterate
Optimize

New Ideas
Troubleshooting

Track
Agree on criteria
APPLY
LEARN
ADJUST
RE-APPLY
Grow
Adjust

Identify data and data wrangle
Create designations and iterate
Agree on criteria
Updates on the indicators
## Update on indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number of Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indicators (data) downloaded</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Still need to download</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need GIS Transformations</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>124</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Industrial and manufacturing sites are almost ready to download!

E.g. redlined communities, school lunches
Next steps with indicators

1. Continue downloading data
2. Make sure data are good enough to use (see examples)
3. Working group to explore snapshots
4. Explore how indicators “work together”
5. Start narrowing down the list of indicators needed to create a solid designation
6. Provide working group with example combinations to ground-truth
Indicator snapshots – go to doc
Critical points where we will need your guidance
Regional Splits

Why: Depending on what regional splits we use, the % of DACs by region will vary widely.

There are NO right or wrong answers here, but our choices here will matter

No decisions today, but discussion will be ongoing
Example: Interim DAC Approach

Interim DAC Criteria is primarily income-based

- HUD 50% Area Median Income (AMI) threshold + within DEC PEJAs (income + race/ethnicity)
  
  or

- New York State Opportunity Zones: Individual poverty rate + Family income indexed to median

https://www.nyserda.ny.gov/ny/disadvantaged-communities
Example: Regional breakdown of interim approach

![Bar chart showing the comparison of % Designated DAC vs. Population between NY City and Rest of NY.]

- % of Population:
  - NY City: 43%
  - Rest of NY: 57%

- % of DAC:
  - NY City: 69%
  - Rest of NY: 31%
Example: Urban/rural breakdown of interim approach

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% Designated DAC vs. Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% of Population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Urban: 49% of Population, 78% of Designated DAC
- Suburban: 33% of Population, 18% of Designated DAC
- Rural: 17% of Population, 4% of Designated DAC
Region splits - Options

1. Data driven – like the interim approach (we don’t mess with anything)
2. Fixed – equal shares (25% NYC, 25% rest of NY)
3. Somewhere in the middle

We will give you scenarios to review
Initial thoughts
CalEnviroScreen 4.0 just released!

Public webinar March 3 @ 2pm PT for Q&A

Webinar will be followed by six virtual public workshops to collect regional input
The public comment period is open from February 22 to April 30, 2021.

OEHHA will review the comments and consider revisions before releasing a final version of CalEnviroScreen 4.0 in summer 2021

Meeting notices and links will be posted here: https://oehha.ca.gov/calenviroscreen

Next Steps